arXiv:0901.0377v1 [math.RA] 4 Jan 2009

On certain categories of modules for twisted affine Lie algebras

Yongcun Gao[1] and Jiayuan Fu[2]
School of Science, Communication University of China, 100024

Abstract

In this paper, using generating functions we study two categories $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ of modules for twisted affine Lie algebras $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$, which were firstly introduced and studied in [Li] for untwisted affine Lie algebras. We classify integrable irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules in categories $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is proved to contain the well known evaluation modules and $\mathcal{C}$ to unify highest weight modules, evaluation modules and their tensor product modules. We determine the isomorphism classes of those irreducible modules.

1 Introduction

For affine Lie algebras, a very important class of modules is the class of highest weight modules in the well known category $\mathcal{O}$, where highest weight integrable modules (of nonnegative integral levels) (cf. [K]) have been the main focus. In [C], Chari proved that every irreducible integrable $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-module of a positive level with finite-dimensional weight subspaces must be a highest weight module and that every irreducible integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module of level zero with finite-dimensional weight subspaces must be finite-dimensional.

Another important class of modules is so called "evaluation modules" (of level zero) associated with a finite number of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules and with the same number of nonzero complex numbers, studied by Chari and Pressley in [CP2] (cf. [CP1], [CP3]). It was proved in [CP2] (together with [C]) that every finite-dimensional irreducible (integrable) $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module is isomorphic to an evaluation module. Furthermore, Chari and Pressley in [CP2] studied the first time the tensor product module of an integrable highest weight $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module with a (finite-dimensional) evaluation $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module associated with finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-modules and distinct nonzero complex numbers. A result, proved in [CP2], is that such a tensor product module is also irreducible. These irreducible (integrable) $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules are greatly different from highest weight modules and finite-dimensional modules in many aspects. In this way, a new family of irreducible integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules were constructed.

With this new family of irreducible integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules having been constructed, important problems are to determine the isomorphism classes and to find a canonical characterization using internal structures, instead of presenting them as tensor product

---

[1] Partially supported by a grant from Communication University of China
[2] Partially supported by the National Science Foundation of China (10726057)

modules, and then to unify the new family with the family of highest weight irreducible integrable modules toward a classification of all the irreducible integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules.

In the paper [Li], all the above mentioned problems have been completely solved for untwisted affine Lie algebras by exploiting generating functions and formal calculus, which have played an essential role in the theory of vertex operator algebras.

In [Li] two category $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ of modules for untwisted affine Lie algebras $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ are defined and studied. A key result is a factorization which states that every irreducible representation of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ in the category $\mathcal{C}$ can be factorized canonically as the product of two representations such that the first representation defines a restricted module and the second one defines a module in the category $\mathcal{E}$.

We generalize all the results of [Li] to twisted affine Lie algebras $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$. We classify integrable irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules in categories $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is proved to contain the well known evaluation modules and $\mathcal{C}$ to unify highest weight modules, evaluation modules and their tensor product modules. We determine the isomorphism classes of those irreducible modules.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we review the notions of restricted module and integrable module and we prove a complete reducibility theorem about the restricted integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules. We also collect and restate certain results on modules for tensor product algebras of two associative algebras in [Li] . In Section 3, we study the category $\mathcal{E}$, and in Section 4, we study the category $\mathcal{C}$. In Section 5, we classify the irreducible integrable modules in the category $\mathcal{C}$.

2 Category $\mathcal{R}$ of restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules

First let us fix some formal variable notations (see [FLM], [FHL], [LL]). Throughout this paper, $t, x, x_1, x_2, \ldots$ are independent mutually commuting formal variables. We shall typically use $z, z_1, z_2, \ldots$ for complex numbers. For a vector space U, $U[[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm 1}]]$ denotes the space of all formal (possibly doubly infinite) series in $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ with coefficients in U, $U((x_1, \ldots, x_n))$ denotes the space of all formal (lower truncated) Laurent series in $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ with coefficients in U and $U[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ denotes the space of all formal (nonnegative) powers series in $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ with coefficients in U.

We shall use the traditional binomial expansion convention: For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(x_1 \pm x_2)^m = \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{m}{i} (\pm 1)^i x_1^{m-i} x_2^i \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_1^{-1}][[x_2]]. \tag{2.1}$$

Recall from [FLM] the formal delta function

$$\delta(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x^n \in \mathbb{C}[[x, x^{-1}]]. \tag{2.2}$$

Its fundamental property is that

$$f(x)\delta(x) = f(1)\delta(x) \quad \text{for } f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}]. \tag{2.3}$$

For any nonzero complex number z,

$$\delta\left(\frac{z}{x}\right) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} z^n x^{-n} \in \mathbb{C}[[x, x^{-1}]] \tag{2.4}$$

and we have

$$f(x)\delta\left(\frac{z}{x}\right) = f(z)\delta\left(\frac{z}{x}\right) \quad \text{for } f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}]. \tag{2.5}$$

In particular,

$$(x - z)\delta\left(\frac{z}{x}\right) = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ so that the squared length of the longest roots is 2, and let σ be an automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ of order N, $\varepsilon = \exp^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}}$. Then we have

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathfrak{g}_i, \text{ where } \mathfrak{g}_i = \{a \in \mathfrak{g} |\, \sigma(a) = \varepsilon^i a\}.$$

Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$ be the corresponding twisted affine Lie algebra, i.e.,

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma] = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathfrak{g}_i \otimes t^{\frac{i}{N}}\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k} \tag{2.7}$$

with the defining commutator relations

$$[a \otimes t^{m+\frac{i}{N}}, b \otimes t^{n+\frac{j}{N}}] = [a, b] \otimes t^{m+n+\frac{i+j}{N}} + (m + \frac{i}{N})\langle a, b\rangle \delta_{m+\frac{i}{N}, -n-\frac{j}{N}}\mathbf{k}, \tag{2.8}$$

for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_i, b \in \mathfrak{g}_j,\ m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and with $\mathbf{k}$ as a nonzero central element. A $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module W is said to be of *level* ℓ in $\mathbb{C}$ if the central element $\mathbf{k}$ acts on W as the scalar ℓ.

For $a \in \mathfrak{g}_i$, form the generating function

$$a(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} (a \otimes t^{n+\frac{i}{N}})x^{-n-\frac{i}{N}-1} \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma][[x^{\frac{1}{N}}, x^{-\frac{1}{N}}]]. \tag{2.9}$$

In terms of generating functions the defining relations (2.8) exactly amount to

$$[a(x_1), b(x_2)] = [a, b](x_2)x_1^{-1}\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^{\frac{i}{N}} \delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right) + \langle a, b\rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\left[x_1^{-1}\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^{\frac{i}{N}} \delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)\right]\mathbf{k}. \tag{2.10}$$

Following the tradition (cf. [FLM], [LL]), for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_i,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we shall use $a(n)$ for the corresponding operator associated to $a \otimes t^{n+\frac{i}{N}}$ on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules. We have the category $\mathcal{R}$ of the so-called restricted modules for the affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$. A $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module W is said to be *restricted* (cf. [K1]) if for any $w \in W$,

$$a(n)w = 0 \quad \text{for } n \ \text{ sufficiently large.} \tag{2.11}$$

Notice that in terms of generating functions, the condition (2.11) amounts to that

$$a(x)w \in W((x^{\frac{1}{N}})) \quad \text{for } w \in W. \tag{2.12}$$

That is, a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module W is restricted if and only if

$$a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x^{\frac{1}{N}}))) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{2.13}$$

Let U be a $\mathfrak{g}$-module and let ℓ be any complex number. Let $\mathbf{k}$ act on U as the scalar ℓ and let

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]_+ = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N},\, i=0,\cdots,N-1,\, n+\frac{i}{N}>0} \mathfrak{g}_i \otimes t^{n+\frac{i}{N}}$$

act trivially, making U a $(\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]_+ \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k})$-module. Form the following induced $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module

$$M_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, U) = U(\,\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]\,) \otimes_{\mathfrak{g}_0\oplus\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]_+\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}} U. \tag{2.14}$$

It is clear that $M_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, U)$ is a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module. We have also that the category $\mathcal{R}$ contains all the highest weight modules.

Lemma 2.1. *There are homogeneous elements* $\{a^1, \dots, a^r\}$ *of* $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathfrak{g}_i$ *such that*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathit{span}\{a^1, a^2, \dots, a^r\},\ [a^k(m), a^k(n)] = 0 \ \text{ for } 1 \le k \le r,\ m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.15}$$

and such that for $1 \le k \le r$ *and for any* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a^k(n)$ *acts locally nilpotently on all integrable* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$*-modules.*

It is similar to untwisted case, we have the following result:

Theorem 2.2. *Let* $\mathfrak{g}$ *be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra equipped with the normalized Killing form. Every nonzero restricted integrable* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$*-module is a direct sum of (irreducible) highest weight integrable modules. In particular, every irreducible integrable* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$*-module* W *is a highest weight integrable module.*

Proof. In view of the complete reducibility theorem in [K1] we only need to show that every nonzero restricted integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module W contains a highest weight integrable (irreducible) submodule.

Claim 1: *There exists a nonzero $u \in W$ such that $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]_+ u = 0$.*

For any nonzero $u \in W$, since W is restricted, $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]_+ u$ is finite-dimensional. For any $u \in W$, we define $d(u) = \dim \hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]_+ u$. We need to prove that there is a $0 \neq u \in W$ such that $d(u) = 0$.

Suppose that $d(u) > 0$ for any $0 \neq u \in W$. Take $0 \neq u \in W$ such that $d(u)$ is minimal. By Lemma 2.1, There are $\{a^1, \ldots, a^r\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $a^k(n)$ locally nilpotently act on W for $k = 1, \ldots, r,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $l + \frac{j}{N}$ be the positive number such that $\mathfrak{g}_j(l)u \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{g}_i(n)u = 0$ whenever $n + \frac{i}{N} > l + \frac{j}{N}$. By the definition of l, $a^k(l)u \neq 0$ for some $a^k \in \mathfrak{g}_j, 1 \leq k \leq r$.

Notice that $a^k(l)^s u = 0$ for some nonnegative integer s. Let m be the nonnegative integer such that $a^k(l)^m u \neq 0$ and $a^k(l)^{m+1} u = 0$. Set $v = a_k(l)^m u$. We will obtain a contradiction by showing that $d(v) < d(u)$. First we prove that if $a(n)u = 0$ for some $a \in \mathfrak{g}_i,\ n + \frac{i}{N} > 0$, then $a(n)v = 0$. In the following we will show by induction on m that $a(n)a_k(l)^m u = 0$ for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}_i$ and $m \geq 0$. If $m = 0$ this is immediate. Now assume that the result holds for m. Since $[a, a^k](l+n)u = 0$ (from the definition of l) and $a(n)u = 0$, by the induction assumption that $a(n)a^k(l)^m u = 0$ we have:

$$[a, a^k](l+n)a^k(l)^m u = 0,\ a(n)a^k(l)^m u = 0. \tag{2.16}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} a(n)a^k(l)^{m+1}u &= [a(n), a^k(l)]a^k(l)^m u + a^k(l)a(n)a^k(l)^m u \\ &= [a, a^k](l+n)a^k(l)^m u + a^k(l)a(n)a^k(l)^m u \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

as required. In particular, we see that $a(n)v = a(n)a^k(l)^m u = 0$. Therefore, $d(v) \leq d(u)$. Since $a^k(l)v = 0$ and $a^k(l)u \neq 0$, we have $d(v) < d(u)$, a contradiction.

Claim 2: W contains an irreducible highest weight integrable submodule. Set

$$\Omega(W) = \{u \in W \mid \hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]_+ u = 0\}. \tag{2.18}$$

Then $\Omega(W)$ is a $\mathfrak{g}_0$-submodule of W and it is nonzero by Claim 1. Since $a^k(0)$ for $k = 1, \ldots, r$ act locally nilpotently on $\Omega(W)$, it follows from the PBW theorem that for any $u \in \Omega(W)$, $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)u$ is finite-dimensional, so that $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)u$ is a direct sum of finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}_0$-modules. Let $u \in \Omega(W)$ be a highest weight vector for $\mathfrak{g}_0$. It is clear that u is a singular vector for $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$. It follows from [K] that u generates an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module. □

We recall from [Li] the following result:

Lemma 2.3. *Let A_1 and A_2 be associative algebras (with identity) and let U_1 and U_2 be irreducible modules for A_1 and A_2, respectively. If either* $\mathrm{End}_{A_1} U_1 = \mathbb{C}$, *or A_1 is of countable dimension, then $U_1 \otimes U_2$ is an irreducible $A_1 \otimes A_2$-module.*

Lemma 2.4. *Let A_1 and A_2 be associative algebras (with identity) and let U be an irreducible $A_1 \otimes A_2$-module. Suppose that U as an A_1-module has an irreducible submodule U_1 and assume that either A_1 is of countable dimension or* $\mathrm{End}_{A_1} U_1 = \mathbb{C}$. *Then U is isomorphic to an $A_1 \otimes A_2$-module of the form $U_1 \otimes U_2$ as in Lemma 2.3.*

Lemma 2.5. *Let A_1 and A_2 be associative algebras (with identity) and let W be an $A_1 \otimes A_2$-module. Assume that A_1 is of countable dimension and assume that W is a completely reducible A_1-module and a completely reducible A_2-module. Then W is isomorphic to a direct sum of irreducible $A_1 \otimes A_2$-modules of the form $U \otimes V$ with U an irreducible A_1-module and V an irreducible A_2-module.*

3 Category $\mathcal{E}$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules

In this section, we study the category $\mathcal{E}$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules, which is shown to include the well known evaluation modules (of level zero). We show that every irreducible integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module in the category $\mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to a finite-dimensional evaluation module.

Definition 3.1. For the twisted affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$, the category $\mathcal{E}$ is defined to consists of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules W for which there exists a nonzero polynomial $p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, depending on W, such that

$$p(x)a(x)w = 0 \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ w \in W. \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.2. *The central element* $\mathbf{k}$ *of* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$ *acts as zero on any* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$*-module in the category* $\mathcal{E}$.

Proof. Let W be a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module in the category $\mathcal{E}$ with a nonzero polynomial $p(x)$ such that $p(x)a(x) = 0$ on W for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. If $p(x)$ is a (nonzero) constant, we have $a(x) = 0$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, i.e., $a(n) = 0$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In view of the commutator relation (2.8) we see that $\mathbf{k}$ must be zero on W. Assume that $p(x)$ is of positive degree, so that $p'(x) \neq 0$. Pick $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ such that $\langle a, b \rangle = 1$. (Notice that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is nondegenerate on $\mathfrak{g}_0$.) Using the commutator relations (2.10) we get

$$0 = p(x_1)p(x_2)[a(x_1), b(x_2)] = \mathbf{k}p(x_1)p(x_2)x_1^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right). \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Res}_{x_2} p(x_1)p(x_2)x_1^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right) &= -\mathrm{Res}_{x_2} p(x_1)p'(x_2)x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right) \\ &= -p(x_1)p'(x_1), \qquad (3.3)\end{aligned}$$

we get $\mathbf{k}p(x_1)p'(x_1) = 0$, which implies that $\mathbf{k} = 0$ on W. □

Next, we give some examples of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules in $\mathcal{E}$. Let U be a $\mathfrak{g}$-module and let z be a nonzero complex number. With a fixed $z^{\frac{1}{N}}$, define an action of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$ on U by

$$a(n) \cdot u = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} z^{n+\frac{i}{N}}(a_i u) \quad \text{for } a = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} a_i \in \mathfrak{g},\ n \in \mathbb{Z}, \qquad (3.4)$$

$$\mathbf{k} \cdot U = 0. \qquad (3.5)$$

Then U equipped with the defined action is a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module (of level zero), which is denoted by $U(z)$. If U is an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-module, it is clear that $U(z)$ is an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module. More generally, let $U_1, \dots, U_r$ be $\mathfrak{g}$-modules and let $z_1, \dots, z_r$ be nonzero complex numbers. Then the tensor product $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module $\otimes_{k=1}^{r} U_k(z_k)$ is called an *evaluation module.*

We now show that the evaluation module $\otimes_{k=1}^{r} U_k(z_k)$ is in the category $\mathcal{E}$. For $a \in \mathfrak{g}_i,\ u_k \in U_k(z_k) = U_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}a(x)(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r) &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k=1}^{r} z_k^{n+\frac{i}{N}} x^{-n-\frac{i}{N}-1}(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a u_k \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{r} x^{-1}\left(\frac{z_k}{x}\right)^{\frac{i}{N}} \delta\left(\frac{z_k}{x}\right)(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a u_k \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r). \qquad (3.6)\end{aligned}$$

Since $(x - z_k)\delta\left(\frac{z_k}{x}\right) = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, r$, we get $(x - z_1)\cdots(x - z_r)a(x)(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r) = 0$. Thus we have proved:

Lemma 3.3. *Let $U_1, \dots, U_r$ be $\mathfrak{g}$-modules and let $z_1, \dots, z_r$ be nonzero complex numbers. Then on the tensor product $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module $U_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r(z_r)$,*

$$(x - z_1)\cdots(x - z_r)a(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g}. \qquad (3.7)$$

In particular, the evaluation $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module $U_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r(z_r)$ is in the category $\mathcal{E}$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$ be a twisted affine Lie algebra. Then for any irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-modules $U_1, \dots, U_r$ and for any distinct nonzero complex numbers $z_1, \dots, z_r$, the tensor product $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module $U_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r(z_r)$ is irreducible.*

Proof. Notice that the universal enveloping algebra $U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma])$ is of countable dimension. It follows from Lemma 2.3 (and induction) that $U_1(z_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes U_r(z_r)$ is an irreducible module for the product Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]\oplus\cdots\oplus\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$ (r copies). Denote by π the representation homomorphism map. For $1\le j\le r$, denote by ψ_j the j-th embedding of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$ into $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]\oplus\cdots\oplus\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$ (r copies) and denote by ψ the diagonal map from $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$ to $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]\oplus\cdots\oplus\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$ (r copies). Then $\psi=\psi_1+\cdots+\psi_r$. We also extend the linear maps ψ and $\psi_1,\dots,\psi_r$ on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma][[x,x^{-1}]]$ canonically.

For $1\le j\le r$, set $p_j(x)=\prod_{k\neq j}(x-z_k)/(z_j-z_k)$. Then

$$p_j(x)\delta\left(\frac{z_k}{x}\right)=p_j(z_k)\delta\left(\frac{z_k}{x}\right)=\delta_{j,k}\delta\left(\frac{z_k}{x}\right) \tag{3.8}$$

for $j,k=1,\dots,r$. Using (3.6) We have that on $U_1(z_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes U_r(z_r)$,

$$p_j(x)\pi\psi_k(a(x))=\delta_{j,k}\pi\psi_k(a(x))\quad \text{for } 1\le j,k\le r,\ a\in\mathfrak{g}. \tag{3.9}$$

Thus on $U_1(z_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes U_r(z_r)$,

$$p_j(x)\pi\psi(a(x))=\pi\psi_j(a(x))\quad \text{for } 1\le j\le r,\ a\in\mathfrak{g}, \tag{3.10}$$

which implies that

$$\pi\psi_j(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma])\subset\pi\psi(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma])\quad \text{for } j=1,\dots,r. \tag{3.11}$$

From this we have

$$\pi\psi(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma])=\pi\psi_1(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma])+\cdots+\pi\psi_r(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]). \tag{3.12}$$

It follows that $U_1(z_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes U_r(z_r)$ is an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module. □

Proposition 3.5. *Let $U_1,\dots,U_r,\ V_1,\dots,V_s$ be nontrivial irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-modules and let $z_1,\dots,z_r$ and $\xi_1,\dots,\xi_s$ be two groups of distinct nonzero complex numbers. Then the $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module $U_1(z_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes U_r(z_r)$ is isomorphic to $V_1(\xi_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes V_s(\xi_s)$ if and only if $r=s$, $z_j=\xi_j$ and $U_j\cong V_j$ up to a permutation.*

Proof. We only need to prove the "only if" part. Let U be any $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module in category $\mathcal{E}$. There exists a (unique nonzero) monic polynomial $p(x)$ of least degree such that $p(x)a(x)U=0$ for $a\in\mathfrak{g}$. Clearly, isomorphic $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules in category $\mathcal{E}$ have the same monic polynomial. If $U=U_1(z_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes U_r(z_r)$, we are going to show that $p(x)=(x-z_1)\cdots(x-z_r)$ is the associated monic polynomial. First, by Lemma 3.3 we have that $p(x)a(x)=0$ on $U_1(z_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes U_r(z_r)$ for $a\in\mathfrak{g}$. Let $q(x)$ be any polynomial such that $q(x)a(x)=0$ on $U_1(z_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes U_r(z_r)$ for $a\in\mathfrak{g}$. Set $p_j(x)=\prod_{k\neq j}(x-z_k)/(z_j-z_k)$ for

$j=1,\dots,r$ as in the proof of Proposition 3.4. For $a\in\mathfrak{g}_i,\ u_j\in U_j$ with $j=1,\dots,r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= q(x)p_j(x)a(x)(u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes u_r)\\ &= q(x)x^{-1}\left(\frac{z_j}{x}\right)^{\frac{i}{N}}\delta\left(\frac{z_j}{x}\right)(u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes au_j\otimes\cdots\otimes u_r)\\ &= q(z_j)x^{-1}\left(\frac{z_j}{x}\right)^{\frac{i}{N}}\delta\left(\frac{z_j}{x}\right)(u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes au_j\otimes\cdots\otimes u_r).\end{aligned}$$

Since each U_j is a nontrivial $\mathfrak{g}$-module, we must have $q(z_j)=0$ for $j=1,\dots,r$. Thus $p(x)$ divides $q(x)$. This proves that $p(x)$ is the associated monic polynomial.

Assume that $U_1(z_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes U_r(z_r)$ is isomorphic to $V_1(\xi_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes V_s(\xi_s)$ with Φ a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module isomorphism map. Then the two tensor product modules must have the same associated monic polynomial. That is, $(x-z_1)\cdots(x-z_r)=(x-\xi_1)\cdots(x-\xi_s)$. Thus $r=s$ and up to a permutation $z_j=\xi_j$ for $j=1,\dots,r$. Assume that $z_j=\xi_j$ for $j=1,\dots,r$. For $1\le j\le r$, $a\in\mathfrak{g}_i$ and for $u_k\in U_k,\ v_k\in V_k$ with $k=1,\dots,r$, we have

$$p_j(x)a(x)(u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes u_r)=x^{-1}\left(\frac{z_j}{x}\right)^{\frac{i}{N}}\delta\left(\frac{z_j}{x}\right)(u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes au_j\otimes\cdots\otimes u_r), \tag{3.13}$$

$$p_j(x)a(x)(v_1\otimes\cdots\otimes v_r)=x^{-1}\left(\frac{z_j}{x}\right)^{\frac{i}{N}}\delta\left(\frac{z_j}{x}\right)(v_1\otimes\cdots\otimes av_j\otimes\cdots\otimes v_r). \tag{3.14}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes au_j\otimes\cdots\otimes u_r) &= \mathrm{Res}_x x^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_j}{x}\right)\Phi(u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes au_j\otimes\cdots\otimes u_r)\\ &= \mathrm{Res}_x\left(\frac{z_j}{x}\right)^{-\frac{i}{N}}\Phi\left(p_j(x)a(x)(u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes u_r)\right)\\ &= \mathrm{Res}_x\left(\frac{z_j}{x}\right)^{-\frac{i}{N}}p_j(x)a(x)\Phi(u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes u_r)\\ &= \sigma_j(a)\Phi(u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes u_r), \qquad (3.15)\end{aligned}$$

where for $a\in\mathfrak{g}_i,\ v_1\in V_1,\dots,v_r\in V_r$,

$$\sigma_j(a)(v_1\otimes\cdots\otimes v_r)=(v_1\otimes\cdots\otimes av_j\otimes\cdots\otimes v_r).$$

It follows that U_j is isomorphic to V_j. For example, consider $j=1$. Pick up nonzero vectors $u_k\in U_k$ for $2\le k\le r$. Using these vectors we get an embedding

$$\Theta: U_1\to U_1\otimes\cdots\otimes U_r;\quad u\mapsto u\otimes u_2\otimes\cdots\otimes u_r.$$

On the other hand, for any linear functions $f_k\in V_k^*$ for $k=2,\dots,r$, we have a linear map

$$\Psi_{f_2,\dots,f_r}: V_1\otimes\cdots\otimes V_r\to V_1;\ \ v_1\otimes v_2\otimes\cdots\otimes v_r\mapsto f_2(v_2)\cdots f_r(v_r)v_1.$$

Since $0 \neq \Phi\Theta(U_1) \subset V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_r$, there exist linear functions $f_k \in V_k^*$ for $k = 2, \ldots, r$ such that

$$\Psi_{f_2,\ldots,f_r}\Phi\Theta(U_1) \neq 0.$$

By (3.15), $\Psi_{f_2,\ldots,f_r}\Phi\Theta$ is a nonzero $\mathfrak{g}$-homomorphism from U_1 to V_1. It is an isomorphism because both U_1 and V_1 are irreducible. $\square$

We next classify finite-dimensional irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules in category $\mathcal{E}$. For $a_i \in \mathfrak{g}_i$, we have

$$a_i(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} (a_i \otimes t^{n+\frac{i}{N}})x^{-n-\frac{i}{N}-1} = a_i \otimes x^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{t}{x}\right)\left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\frac{i}{N}}. \tag{3.16}$$

For $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x],\ m \in \mathbb{Z},\ a_i \in \mathfrak{g}_i$, we have

$$x^{m+\frac{i}{N}}f(x)a_i(x) = a_i \otimes x^{m+\frac{i}{N}}f(x)x^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{t}{x}\right)\left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\frac{i}{N}} = a_i \otimes t^{m+\frac{i}{N}}f(t)x^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{t}{x}\right), \tag{3.17}$$

so that

$$\mathrm{Res}_x x^{m+\frac{i}{N}}f(x)a_i(x) = a_i \otimes t^{m+\frac{i}{N}}f(t). \tag{3.18}$$

It follows immediately that for any $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module W, $f(x)a_i(x)W = 0$ if and only if $(a_i \otimes t^{\frac{i}{N}}f(t)\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}])W = 0$.

For a nonzero polynomial $p(x)$, we define a subcategory $\mathcal{E}_{p(x)}$ of $\mathcal{E}$, consisting of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules W such that

$$p(x)a(x)w = 0 \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ w \in W. \tag{3.19}$$

Noticing Lemma 3.2, then a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module in the category $\mathcal{E}_{p(x)}$ exactly amounts to a module for the Lie algebra $\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\mathfrak{g}_i \otimes t^{\frac{i}{N}}\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]/\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\mathfrak{g}_i \otimes t^{\frac{i}{N}}p(t)\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $p(x) = (x - z_1)\cdots(x - z_r)$ with $z_1, \ldots, z_r$ distinct nonzero complex numbers and with $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then any finite-dimensional irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module W in the category $\mathcal{E}_{p(x)}$ is isomorphic to a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module $U_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r(z_r)$ for some finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-modules $U_1, \ldots, U_r$.*

Proof. We have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\mathfrak{g}_i \otimes t^{\frac{i}{N}}\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]/\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\mathfrak{g}_i \otimes t^{\frac{i}{N}}p(t)\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$$

$$= \bigoplus_{l=1}^{r}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\mathfrak{g}_i \otimes t^{\frac{i}{N}}\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]/\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\mathfrak{g}_i \otimes t^{\frac{i}{N}}(t - z_l)\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]\right).$$

For any nonzero complex number z and with a fixed $z^{\frac{1}{N}}$, we define a map

$$\varphi : \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathfrak{g}_i \otimes t^{\frac{i}{N}} \mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}] / \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathfrak{g}_i \otimes t^{\frac{i}{N}} (t-z)\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}] \to \mathfrak{g},$$

by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} a_i \otimes t^{\frac{i}{N}} p_i(t) + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathfrak{g}_i \otimes t^{\frac{i}{N}} (t-z)\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}] \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} z^{\frac{i}{N}} p_i(z) a_i.$$

Then φ is an isomorphism. We have that a $\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathfrak{g}_i \otimes t^{\frac{i}{N}} \mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}] / \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathfrak{g}_i \otimes t^{\frac{i}{N}} (t-z)\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$-module exactly amounts to an evaluation $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module $U(z)$. Set

$$A_l = U\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathfrak{g}_i \otimes t^{\frac{i}{N}} \mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}] / \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathfrak{g}_i \otimes t^{\frac{i}{N}} (t-z_l)\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}] \right)$$

for $l = 1, \dots, r$. Since W is finite-dimensional, W viewed as an A_l-module contains a (finite-dimensional) irreducible submodule for which the Schur Lemma holds. It now follows from Lemma 2.4 (and induction). □

Proposition 3.7. *Any finite-dimensional irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module W in the category $\mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module $U_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r(z_r)$ for some finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$-modules $U_1, \dots, U_r$ and for some distinct nonzero complex numbers $z_1, \dots, z_r$.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.6, it suffices to prove that W is in the category $\mathcal{E}_{p(x)}$ with $p(x)$ a nonzero polynomial whose nonzero roots are multiplicity-free. Let $p(x)$ be the monic polynomial with the least degree such that $p(x)a(x)W = 0$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Notice that for any formal series $A(x)$ with coefficients in any vector space and for any integer m, $x^m A(x) = 0$ if and only if $A(x) = 0$. In view of this we have $p(0) \neq 0$. Thus

$$p(x) = (x-z_1)^{k_1} \cdots (x-z_r)^{k_r}, \tag{3.20}$$

where $z_1, \dots, z_r$ are distinct nonzero complex numbers and $k_1, \dots, k_r$ are positive integers.

Let I be the annihilating ideal of W in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$. Then $\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathfrak{g}_i \otimes t^{\frac{i}{N}} p(t)\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}] \subset I$ and W is an irreducible faithful $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]/I$-module. Therefore $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]/I$ is reductive (where we using the fact that W is finite-dimensional). Set $f(x) = (x-z_1)\cdots(x-z_r)$ and let k be the largest one among $k_1, \dots, k_r$. We see that $p(x)$ is a factor of $f(x)^k$. It follows that the quotient space $(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathfrak{g}_i \otimes t^{\frac{i}{N}} f(t)\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}])/I$ is a solvable ideal of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/I$. With $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]/I$ being reductive, $(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathfrak{g}_i \otimes t^{\frac{i}{N}} f(t)\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}])/I$ must be in the center of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]/I$. From this we have that $\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathfrak{g}_i \otimes t^{\frac{i}{N}} f(t)\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]) \subset I$. This proves that $f(x)a(x)W = 0$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Consequently, $f(x) = p(x)$, that is, $k_1 = \cdots = k_r = 1$. □

Proposition 3.8. *The irreducible integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules in the category $\mathcal{E}$ up to isomorphism are exactly those evaluation modules $U_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r(z_r)$ where U_j are finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-modules and z_j are distinct nonzero complex numbers.*

Proof. In view of Proposition 3.7 it suffices to prove that every irreducible integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module W in the category $\mathcal{E}$ is finite-dimensional. Since W is in the category $\mathcal{E}$, there is a nonzero polynomial $p(x)$ such that $(a\otimes t^{\frac{i}{N}}p(t)\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}])W = 0$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_i, i = 0, 1, \cdots, N-1$. Let I be the annihilating ideal of W in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$. Then $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]/I$ is finite-dimensional. Recall from Lemma 2.1 that there are homogeneous linearly generating elements $\{a^1, \dots, a^r\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that for any $1 \le k \le r$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a^k(n)$ acts locally nilpotently on W. Let $0 \ne w \in W$. Since W is irreducible, we have $W = U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma])w = U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]/I)w$. In view of the PBW theorem (for $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]/I$ using a basis consisting of the cosets of finitely many $a^k(n)$'s) we have that W is finite-dimensional, completing the proof. $\square$

4 Category $\mathcal{C}$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules

In this section, we study the category $\mathcal{C}$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules, which naturally unifies restricted modules, evaluation modules and their tensor product modules. We prove that the tensor product module of an irreducible restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module (in $\mathcal{R}$) with an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module in $\mathcal{E}$ is irreducible. We also prove that two such tensor product $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules are isomorphic if and only if the corresponding factors are isomorphic.

Definition 4.1. For a twisted affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$, the category $\mathcal{C}$ is defined to consist of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules W such that there exists a nonzero polynomial $p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, depending on W, such that

$$p(x)a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x^{\frac{1}{N}}))) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{4.1}$$

Clearly, every restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module (in the category $\mathcal{R}$) and every $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module in the category $\mathcal{E}$ are in $\mathcal{C}$. (Recall (2.13) and Lemma 3.3.) It is also clear that $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under tensor product of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules. Thus, tensor products of restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules with evaluation $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules belong to $\mathcal{C}$. More specifically, from Lemma 3.3 we immediately have:

Lemma 4.2. *Let $U_1, \dots, U_r$ be $\mathfrak{g}$-modules and let $z_1, \dots, z_r$ be nonzero complex numbers. For any restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module W, we have*

$$(x - z_1)\cdots(x - z_r)a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(M, M((x^{\frac{1}{N}}))) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g}, \tag{4.2}$$

where M denotes the tensor product $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module $W \otimes U_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r(z_r)$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let W be an irreducible restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module (in the category $\mathcal{R}$) and let U be an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module in the category $\mathcal{E}$. Then the tensor product module $W \otimes U$ is irreducible.*

Proof. Let M be any nonzero submodule of the tensor product $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module $W \otimes U$. We must prove that $M = W \otimes U$. Since W and U are irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules and $U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma])$ is of countable dimension, by Lemma 2.3 $W \otimes U$ is an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma] \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module. Now, it suffices to prove that M is a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma] \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-submodule of $W \otimes U$ and furthermore it suffices to prove that

$$(a(n) \otimes 1)M \subset M \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.3}$$

(Notice that $(1 \otimes a(n))w = a(n)w - (a(n) \otimes 1)w$ for $w \in M$.)

By the definition of $\mathcal{E}$ there exists a nonzero polynomial $p(x)$ such that $p(x)a(x) = 0$ on U for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, so that

$$p(x)(a(x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a(x)) = p(x)a(x) \otimes 1 \quad \text{on } \ W \otimes U. \tag{4.4}$$

With M being a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-submodule of the tensor product module and with W being a restricted module we have

$$p(x)(a(x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a(x))M \subset M[[x, x^{-1}]], \quad p(x)(a(x) \otimes 1)M \subset (W \otimes U)((x)). \tag{4.5}$$

From this, using (4.4) we have

$$p(x)(a(x) \otimes 1)M \subset M((x)) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{4.6}$$

Let $f(x)$ be the formal Laurent series of rational function $1/p(x)$ at zero, so that $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x))$. Then we have

$$a(x) \otimes 1 = (f(x)p(x))(a(x) \otimes 1) = f(x)(p(x)(a(x) \otimes 1))$$

on M. Consequently,

$$(a(x) \otimes 1)M \subset M((x)) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{4.7}$$

This proves (4.3), completing the proof. □

The following result tells us when two $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules of the form $W \otimes U$ obtained in Theorem 4.3 are isomorphic.

Theorem 4.4. *Let W_1, W_2 be irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules in category $\mathcal{R}$ and let U_1 and U_2 be irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules in category $\mathcal{E}$. Then the tensor product $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules $W_1 \otimes U_1$ and $W_2 \otimes U_2$ are isomorphic if and only if W_1 and U_1 are isomorphic to W_2 and U_2, respectively.*

Proof. We only need to prove the "only if" part. Let F be a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module isomorphism from $W_1 \otimes U_1$ onto $W_2 \otimes U_2$. We have

$$F(a(x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a(x))v = (a(x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a(x))F(v) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ v \in W_1 \otimes U_1. \tag{4.8}$$

Let $p(x)$ be a nonzero polynomial such that

$$p(x)a(x)U_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p(x)a(x)U_2 = 0 \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Using this and (4.8) we get

$$p(x)F((a(x) \otimes 1)v) = p(x)(a(x) \otimes 1)F(v) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ v \in W_1 \otimes U_1. \tag{4.9}$$

We have

$$F((a(x) \otimes 1)v) = (a(x) \otimes 1)F(v) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ v \in W_1 \otimes U_1. \tag{4.10}$$

Let $\{u_2^i \mid i \in S\}$ be a basis of U_2. Then $W_2 \otimes U_2 = \coprod_{i \in S} W_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}u_2^i$. Denote by ϕ_i the projection of $W_2 \otimes U_2$ onto $W_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}u_2^i$. We have

$$\phi_i(a(x) \otimes 1)w = (a(x) \otimes 1)\phi_i(w) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ w \in W_2 \otimes U_2,$$

so that

$$\phi_i F((a(x) \otimes 1)v) = (a(x) \otimes 1)\phi_i F(v) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ v \in W_1 \otimes U_1.$$

Let $0 \neq u_1 \in U_1$. There exists an $i \in S$ such that $\phi_i F \neq 0$ on $W_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}u_1$. We see that the map $\phi_i F$ gives rise to a nonzero $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module homomorphism from W_1 $(= W_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}u_1)$ onto W_2 $(= W_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}u_2^i)$. Because W_1 and W_2 are irreducible, this nonzero homomorphism is an isomorphism. This proves that W_1 is isomorphic to W_2.

From (4.8) and (4.10) we have

$$F((1 \otimes a(x))v) = (1 \otimes a(x))F(v) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ v \in W_1 \otimes U_1. \tag{4.11}$$

Then using the same strategy, we see that U_1 is isomorphic to U_2. $\square$

Theorem 4.3 gives us a construction of irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules in $\mathcal{E}$. Naturally one wants to know whether irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules of the form $W \otimes U$ as in Theorem 4.3 exhaust the irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules in the category $\mathcal{C}$ up to isomorphism. In the next section we shall prove that this is true if we restrict ourselves to integrable modules for $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$.

5 Classification of irreducible integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules in the category $\mathcal{C}$

In this section we continue to study irreducible modules in the category $\mathcal{C}$ for affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$. As our main result we show that for the twisted affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$, every irreducible integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module in the category $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to a tensor product of a highest weight integrable module with a finite-dimensional evaluation module.

Definition 5.1. Let W be any vector space. We set (see [Li]):

$$\mathcal{E}(W) = \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x^{\frac{1}{N}}))). \tag{5.1}$$

Define $\bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$ to be the subspace of $(\mathrm{End}\,W)[[x^{\frac{1}{N}}, x^{-\frac{1}{N}}]]$, consisting of formal series $a(x)$ such that $p(x)a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x^{\frac{1}{N}})))$ for some nonzero polynomial $p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}]$ and define $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0(W)$ to be the subspace of $\bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$ consisting of the formal series $a(x)$ such that $p(x)a(x) = 0$ for some nonzero polynomial $p(x)$.

Remark 5.2. For $a(x) \in (\mathrm{End}\,W)[[x^{\frac{1}{N}}, x^{-\frac{1}{N}}]]$, if $x^m f(x)a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x^{\frac{1}{N}})))$ for some integer m and for some polynomial $f(x)$, then $f(x)a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x^{\frac{1}{N}})))$. In view of this, if we need, we may assume that $p(0) \neq 0$ for a nonzero polynomial $p(x)$ in Definition 5.1.

Let $\mathbb{C}(x)$ denote the algebra of rational functions of x. We define $\iota_{x;0}$ to be the linear map from $\mathbb{C}(x)$ to $\mathbb{C}((x))$ such that for $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}(x)$, $\iota_{x;0}(f(x))$ is the formal Laurent series of $f(x)$ at 0. Notice that both $\mathbb{C}(x)$ and $\mathbb{C}((x))$ are (commutative) fields. The linear map $\iota_{x;0}$ is a field embedding. If $p(x)$ is a polynomial with $p(0) \neq 0$, then $\iota_{x;0}(p(x)) \in \mathbb{C}[[x]]$.

Definition 5.3. For a vector space W, we define a linear map

$$\psi_{\mathcal{R}} : \bar{\mathcal{E}}(W) \to \mathcal{E}(W) \ (= \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x^{\frac{1}{N}}))))$$

by

$$\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))w = \iota_{x;0}(f(x)^{-1})(f(x)a(x)w) \quad \text{for } a(x) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}(W),\ w \in W, \tag{5.2}$$

where $f(x)$ is any nonzero polynomial such that $f(x)a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x^{\frac{1}{N}})))$.

First of all, the map $\psi_{\mathcal{R}}$ is well defined; the expression on the right-hand side of (5.2) makes sense (which is clear) and does not depend on the choice of $f(x)$. Indeed, let $0 \neq f, g \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ be such that

$$f(x)a(x),\ \ g(x)a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x^{\frac{1}{N}}))).$$

Set $h(x) = f(x)g(x)$. Then $h(x)a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x^{\frac{1}{N}})))$. For $w \in W$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{x;0}(h(x)^{-1})(h(x)a(x)w) &= \iota_{x;0}(h(x)^{-1})f(x)(g(x)a(x)w) \\ &= \iota_{x;0}(g(x)^{-1})(g(x)a(x)w). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\iota_{x;0}(h(x)^{-1})(h(x)a(x)w) = \iota_{x;0}(f(x)^{-1})(f(x)a(x)w).$$

The following is an immediate consequence of (5.2) and the associativity law:

Lemma 5.4. *For $a(x) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$, we have*

$$f(x)\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x)) = f(x)a(x), \tag{5.3}$$

where $f(x)$ is any nonzero polynomial such that $f(x)a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x^{\frac{1}{N}})))$.

Furthermore we have the following result:

Proposition 5.5. *For any vector space W, we have*

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}(W) = \mathcal{E}(W) \oplus \bar{\mathcal{E}}_0(W). \tag{5.4}$$

Furthermore, the linear map $\psi_{\mathcal{R}}$ from $\bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$ to $\mathcal{E}(W)$, defined in Definition 5.3, is the projection map of $\bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$ onto $\mathcal{E}(W)$, i.e.,

$$\psi_{\mathcal{R}}|_{\mathcal{E}(W)} = 1 \quad \textit{and} \quad \psi_{\mathcal{R}}|_{\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0(W)} = 0. \tag{5.5}$$

Proof. Let $a(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W) = \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x^{\frac{1}{N}})))$. In Definition 5.3 we can take $f(x) = 1$, so that $\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))w = a(x)w$ for $w \in W$. Thus $\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x)) = a(x)$.

Now, let $a(x) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}_0(W)$. By definition there is a nonzero polynomial $p(x)$ such that $p(x)a(x) = 0$ on W, so that $p(x)a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x^{\frac{1}{N}})))$. From definition we have

$$\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))w = \iota_{x;0}(p(x)^{-1})(p(x)a(x)w) = 0 \quad \text{for } w \in W.$$

Thus $\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x)) = 0$. This proves the property (5.5) and it follows immediately that the sum $\mathcal{E}(W) + \bar{\mathcal{E}}_0(W)$ is a direct sum.

Let $a(x) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$ and let $0 \neq f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ be such that $f(x)a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x^{\frac{1}{N}})))$. In view of Lemma 5.4 we have $f(x)\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x)) = f(x)a(x)$. Then $f(x)(a(x) - \psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))) = 0$, which implies that $a(x) - \psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x)) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}_0(W)$. Thus, $a(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W) \oplus \bar{\mathcal{E}}_0(W)$. This proves that $\bar{\mathcal{E}}(W) \subset \mathcal{E}(W) \oplus \bar{\mathcal{E}}_0(W)$, from which we have (5.4). □

Definition 5.6. For a vector space W, we denote by $\psi_{\mathcal{E}}$ the projection map of $\bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$ onto $\mathcal{E}_0(W)$ with respect to the decomposition (5.4). For $a(x) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}(x) &= \psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x)), && (5.6) \\ \breve{a}(x) &= \psi_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x)) = a(x) - \psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x)) = a(x) - \tilde{a}(x). && (5.7) \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 5.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x)\tilde{a}(x) &= f(x)a(x), & (5.8)\\ f(x)\check{a}(x) &= 0 & (5.9)\end{aligned}$$

for any nonzero $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ such that $f(x)a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x^{\frac{1}{N}})))$.

The following result relates the actions of $\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))$ and $a(x)$ on W:

Lemma 5.7. *For $a(x) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}(W),\ n \in \mathbb{Z},\ w \in W$, we have*

$$\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))(n)w = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \beta_i a(n+i)w \tag{5.10}$$

for some $r \in \mathbb{N},\ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r \in \mathbb{C}$, depending on $a(x), w$ and n, where

$$\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x)) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))(n)x^{-n-1}. \tag{5.11}$$

Proof. Let $p(x)$ be a polynomial with $p(0) \neq 0$ such that $p(x)a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x^{\frac{1}{N}})))$. Then $x^k p(x)a(x)w \in W[[x^{\frac{1}{N}}]]$ for some nonnegative integer k. Assume that

$$\iota_{x;0}(1/p(x)) = \sum_{i\geq 0} \alpha_i x^i \in \mathbb{C}[[x]]. \tag{5.12}$$

Noticing that $\mathrm{Res}_x x^{k+m} p(x)a(x)w = 0$ for $m \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))(n)w &= \mathrm{Res}_x x^n \psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))w = \mathrm{Res}_x x^n \iota_{x;0}(1/p(x))(p(x)a(x)w)\\ &= \mathrm{Res}_x \sum_{0\leq i\leq k-n-1} \alpha_i x^{n+i}(p(x)a(x)w)\\ &= \mathrm{Res}_x \left(\sum_{0\leq i\leq k-n-1} \alpha_i x^{n+i} p(x)a(x) \right) w. \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Then it follows immediately. □

Lemma 5.8. *Let W be a vector space as before and let*

$$a(x), b(x) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}(W),\ c_0(x), c_1(x) \in (\mathrm{End}\, W)[[x^{\frac{1}{N}}, x^{-\frac{1}{N}}]]$$

be such that on W for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$[a(x_1), b(x_2)] = c_0(x_2)x_1^{-1}\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^{\frac{i}{N}} \delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right) + c_1(x_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\left[x_1^{-1}\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^{\frac{i}{N}} \delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)\right]. \tag{5.14}$$

Then $c_0(x), c_1(x) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$ and

$$[\tilde{a}(x_1), \tilde{b}(x_2)] = \tilde{c}_0(x_2)x_1^{-1}\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^{\frac{i}{N}} \delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right) + \tilde{c}_1(x_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\left[x_1^{-1}\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^{\frac{i}{N}} \delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)\right]. \tag{5.15}$$

Proof. From (5.14) we get

$$\operatorname{Res}_{x_1}\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^{-\frac{i}{N}}[a(x_1),b(x_2)]=c_0(x_2)+\frac{i}{N}x_2^{-1}c_1(x_2), \tag{5.16}$$

$$\operatorname{Res}_{x_1}(x_1-x_2)\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^{-\frac{i}{N}}[a(x_1),b(x_2)]=c_1(x_2). \tag{5.17}$$

Then it is clear that $c_k(x)\in\bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$ for $k=0,1$, since $b(x)\in\bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$.

Let $0\neq f(x)\in\mathbb{C}[x]$ be such that

$$f(x)a(x),\ f(x)b(x),\ f(x)c_0(x),f(x)c_1(x)\in\operatorname{Hom}(W,W((x))),$$

so that

$$f(x)a(x)=f(x)\tilde{a}(x),\ f(x)b(x)=f(x)\tilde{b}(x),\ f(x)c_k(x)=f(x)\tilde{c}_k(x)$$

for $k=0,1$. Then multiplying both sides of (5.14) by $f(x_1)f(x_2)$ we obtain

$$f(x_1)f(x_2)[\tilde{a}(x_1),\tilde{b}(x_2)] \tag{5.18}$$

$$=\left[f(x_1)f(x_2)\tilde{c}_0(x_2)+f(x_1)f(x_2)\tilde{c}_1(x_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right]x_1^{-1}\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^{\frac{i}{N}}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right). \tag{5.19}$$

Then we may multiply both sides by $\iota_{x_1;0}(f(x_1)^{-1})\iota_{x_2;0}(f(x_2)^{-1})$ to get (5.15), noticing that the associativity law holds in this case. □

The following is the key factorization result:

Theorem 5.9. *Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$ be a twisted affine Lie algebra and let $\pi:\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]\to\operatorname{End}W$ be a integrable representation in the category $\mathcal{C}$. Define linear maps $\pi_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{E}}$ from $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$ to $\operatorname{End}W$ in terms of generating functions by*

$$\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x)+\alpha\mathbf{k})=\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(\pi(a(x)))+\alpha\pi(\mathbf{k}), \tag{5.20}$$

$$\pi_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x)+\beta\mathbf{k})=\psi_{\mathcal{E}}(\pi(a(x))) \tag{5.21}$$

for $a\in\mathfrak{g}$, $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{C}$, where we extend π to $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma][[x,x^{-1}]]$ canonically. Then

$$\pi=\pi_{\mathcal{R}}+\pi_{\mathcal{E}} \tag{5.22}$$

and the linear map

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]\oplus\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]\to\operatorname{End}W:(u,v)\mapsto\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(u)+\pi_{\mathcal{E}}(v) \tag{5.23}$$

defines a representation of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]\oplus\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$ on W. If (W,π) is irreducible, we have that W is an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]\oplus\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module. Furthermore, $(W,\pi_{\mathcal{R}})$ is a restricted integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module (in the category $\mathcal{R}$) and $(W,\pi_{\mathcal{E}})$ is a integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module in the category $\mathcal{E}$.

Proof. The relation (5.22) follows from Proposition 5.5. It follows immediately from the defining commutator relations (2.10) and Lemma 5.8 that $(W, \pi_{\mathcal{R}})$ is a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module and it is clear that it is a restricted module. (We view $\mathbf{k}$ as an element of $\bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$.) Consequently, $(W, \pi_{\mathcal{E}})$ is a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module, since $\pi_{\mathcal{E}} = \pi - \pi_{\mathcal{R}}$.

Let $0 \neq f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ be such that $f(x)\pi(a(x)) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x^{\frac{1}{N}})))$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then

$$f(x)\psi_{\mathcal{R}}\pi(a(x)) = f(x)\pi(a(x)), \quad f(x)\psi_{\mathcal{E}}\pi(a(x)) = 0,$$

so that

$$f(x)\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x)) = f(x)\psi_{\mathcal{R}}\pi(a(x)) = f(x)\pi(a(x)), \tag{5.24}$$

$$f(x)\pi_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x)) = 0 \tag{5.25}$$

for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. From this we have that $(W, \pi_{\mathcal{E}})$ belongs to the category $\mathcal{E}$.

For $a \in \mathfrak{g}_i$, $b \in \mathfrak{g}_j$, using the commutator relations (2.10) and the basic delta-function property we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(x_1)[\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x_1)), \pi_{\mathcal{E}}(b(x_2))] \\
= \;& f(x_1)[\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x_1)), \pi(b(x_2))] - f(x_1)[\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x_1)), \pi_{\mathcal{R}}(b(x_2))] \\
= \;& f(x_1)[\pi(a(x_1)), \pi(b(x_2))] - f(x_1)[\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x_1)), \pi_{\mathcal{R}}(b(x_2))] \\
= \;& f(x_1)\pi([a,b](x_2))x_1^{-1}\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^{\frac{i}{N}}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right) + \langle a,b\rangle\pi(\mathbf{k})f(x_1)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\left[x_1^{-1}\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^{\frac{i}{N}}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)\right] \\
& -f(x_1)\pi_{\mathcal{R}}([a,b](x_2))x_1^{-1}\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^{\frac{i}{N}}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right) - \langle a,b\rangle\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{k})f(x_1)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\left[x_1^{-1}\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^{\frac{i}{N}}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)\right] \\
= \;& f(x_2)\pi([a,b](x_2))x_1^{-1}\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^{\frac{i}{N}}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right) + \langle a,b\rangle\pi(\mathbf{k})f(x_1)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\left[x_1^{-1}\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^{\frac{i}{N}}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)\right] \\
& -f(x_2)\pi_{\mathcal{R}}([a,b](x_2))x_1^{-1}\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^{\frac{i}{N}}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right) - \langle a,b\rangle\pi(\mathbf{k})f(x_1)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\left[x_1^{-1}\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^{\frac{i}{N}}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)\right] \\
= \;& 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

noticing that $f(x_2)\pi([a,b](x_2)) = f(x_2)\pi_{\mathcal{R}}([a,b](x_2)$. Since $\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x_1)) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x_1^{\frac{1}{N}})))$, we can multiply both sides by $\iota_{x_1,0}1/f(x_1)$ and use associativity to get

$$[\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x_1)), \pi_{\mathcal{E}}(b(x_2))] = 0. \tag{5.27}$$

It follows that $(u, v) \mapsto \pi_{\mathcal{R}}(u) + \pi_{\mathcal{E}}(v)$ defines a representation of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma] \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$ on W. With $\pi = \pi_{\mathcal{R}} + \pi_{\mathcal{E}}$, it is clear that if (W, π) is irreducible, W is an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma] \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module.

To prove that $(W, \pi_{\mathcal{R}})$ and $(W, \pi_{\mathcal{E}})$ are integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules, we have to prove that for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ with $\alpha \in \Delta$ and for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\tilde{a}(n)$ and $\check{a}(n)$ act locally nilpotently on W, where $\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(a \otimes t^n) = \tilde{a}(n)$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{E}}(a \otimes t^n) = \check{a}(n)$.

Let $a \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ with Δ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Notice that $[a(r), a(s)] = 0$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, since $[a, a] = 0$ and $\langle a, a \rangle = 0$. For $w \in W$, we have

$$a(r)\tilde{a}(x)w = a(r)\iota_{x;0}(1/f(x))(f(x)a(x)w) = \iota_{x;0}(1/f(x))(f(x)a(x)a(r)w) = \tilde{a}(x)a(r)w.$$

Thus

$$a(r)\tilde{a}(s) = \tilde{a}(s)a(r) \quad \text{for } r, s \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{5.28}$$

Let $w \in W$ be an arbitrarily fixed vector. By Lemma 5.7,

$$\tilde{a}(n)w = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \beta_i a(n+i)w$$

for some positive integer r and for some complex numbers $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r$. Using (5.28) we get

$$\tilde{a}(n)^p w = (\beta_0 a(n) + \cdots + \beta_r a(n+r))^p w \quad \text{for any } p \geq 0. \tag{5.29}$$

Since (W, π) is an integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module, there is a positive integer k such that

$$a(m)^k w = 0 \quad \text{for } m = n, n+1, \dots, n+r.$$

Combining this with (5.29) we obtain $\tilde{a}(n)^{k(r+1)}w = 0$.

Since $\check{a}(n) = a(n) - \tilde{a}(n)$ and $[a(n), \tilde{a}(n)] = 0$, we get

$$\check{a}(n)^{k(r+2)}w = (a(n) - \tilde{a}(n))^{k(r+2)}w = \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{k(r+2)}{i} (-1)^i a(n)^{k(r+2)-i}\tilde{a}(n)^i w = 0. \tag{5.30}$$

This proves that $\tilde{a}(n)$ and $\check{a}(n)$ act locally nilpotently on W, completing the proof. □

Furthermore, we have:

Proposition 5.10. *Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$ be a twisted affine Lie algebra and let (W_1, π_1) and (W_2, π_2) be $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-modules in the category $\mathcal{C}$ and let Φ be a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module homomorphism (isomorphism) from (W_1, π_1) to (W_2, π_2). Then Φ is a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module homomorphism (isomorphism) from $(W_1, (\pi_1)_{\mathcal{R}})$ to $(W_2, (\pi_2)_{\mathcal{R}})$ and a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$-module homomorphism (isomorphism) from $(W_1, (\pi_1)_{\mathcal{E}})$ to $(W_2, (\pi_2)_{\mathcal{E}})$.*

Proof. Let $f(x)$ be a nonzero polynomial such that for every $a \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$f(x)\pi_1(a(x)) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W_1, W_1((x^{\frac{1}{N}}))), \;\; f(x)\pi_2(a(x)) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W_2, W_2((x^{\frac{1}{N}}))).$$

Then we have

$$f(x)\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(\pi_1(a(x))) = f(x)\pi_1(a(x)), \;\; f(x)\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(\pi_2(a(x))) = f(x)\pi_2(a(x)),$$

so that

$$f(x)(\pi_1)_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x)) = f(x)\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(\pi_1(a(x))) = f(x)\pi_1(a(x)), \tag{5.31}$$

$$f(x)(\pi_2)_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x)) = f(x)\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(\pi_2(a(x))) = f(x)\pi_2(a(x)). \tag{5.32}$$

For $a \in \mathfrak{g},\ w_1 \in W_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x)\Phi((\pi_1)_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))w_1) &= f(x)\Phi(\pi_1(a(x))w_1) = f(x)\pi_2(a(x))\Phi(w_1) \\ &= f(x)(\pi_2)_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))\Phi(w_1). \end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

Since $\Phi((\pi_1)_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))w_1),\ (\pi_2)_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))\Phi(w_1) \in W_2((x))$, we have

$$\Phi((\pi_1)_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))w_1) = (\pi_2)_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))\Phi(w_1) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{5.34}$$

This proves that Φ is a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module homomorphism from $(W_1, (\pi_1)_{\mathcal{R}})$ to $(W_2, (\pi_2)_{\mathcal{R}})$. Because $(\pi_i)_{\mathcal{E}} = \pi_i - (\pi_i)_{\mathcal{R}}$ for $i = 1, 2$, it follows that Φ is also a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module homomorphism from $(W_1, (\pi_1)_{\mathcal{E}})$ to $(W_2, (\pi_2)_{\mathcal{E}})$. □

Now, we are in a position to prove our main result:

Theorem 5.11. *Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a standard affine Lie algebra. Every irreducible integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module in the category $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to a module of the form $M \otimes U_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r(z_r)$, where M is an irreducible integrable highest weight $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module and $U_1, \dots, U_r$ are finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-modules with $z_1, \dots, z_r$ distinct nonzero complex numbers.*

Proof. Let $\pi : \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \to \operatorname{End} W$ be an irreducible integrable representation of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ in the category $\mathcal{C}$. By Theorem 5.9, W is an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module with (u, v) acting as $\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(u) + \pi_{\mathcal{E}}(v)$ for $u, v \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and we have $\pi = \pi_{\mathcal{R}} + \pi_{\mathcal{E}}$. Furthermore, by Proposition **??**, $(W, \pi_{\mathcal{R}})$ is an integrable restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module and $(W, \pi_{\mathcal{E}})$ is an integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module in the category $\mathcal{E}$. In view of Theorem 2.2, $(W, \pi_{\mathcal{R}})$ is a direct sum of integrable highest weight (irreducible) $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules. Now it follows immediately from Lemma 2.4 with $A_1 = A_2 = U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ (which is of countable dimension) and from Proposition 3.8. □

References

[B] R. E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 3068-3071.

[C] V. Chari, Integrable representations of affine Lie algebras, *Invent. Math.* **85** (1986), 317-335.

[CP1] V. Chari and A. N. Pressley, New unitary representations of loop groups, *Math. Ann.* **275** (1986), 87-104.

[CP2] V. Chari and A. N. Pressley, A new family of irreducible, integrable modules for affine Lie algebras, *Math. Ann.* **277** (1987), 543-562.

[CP3] V. Chari and A. N. Pressley, Integrable representations of twisted affine Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* **113** (1988), 438-464.

[FLM] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Appl. Math., **Vol. 134**, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.

[H] J. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory,* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.

[K] V. G. Kac, Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras, 3rd edition, Cambridge University Press, 1990.

[Li] H.-S. Li, On certain categories of modules for affine Lie algebras, *Math. Z.* **248** (2004), 635-664.

[LL] J. Lepowsky and H.-S. Li, *Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations*, Progress in Math., **Vol.** 227, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004.